GLOBAL ACADEMIC INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY (GAIJM)

Received: 26.01.2026
Accepted: 07.04.2026
Published: 12.05.2026
Vol.1.1.2026 pp.78-87 **http://globreshub.org/index.php/gaijm**

AI-POWERED EDUCATIONAL TOOLS FOR LOW-RESOURCE CLASSROOMS: CHALLENGES, SOLUTIONS, CASE STUDIES, AND IMPACT ON LEARNING OUTCOMES

[1]Isaac Onah IYANYA, [2]Bonnie Obeka OBANDE(CLN),[3]Grace Amarachi UNAMBA(CLN)
[1]Registry Department, College of Education, Oju Benue State. isaaciyanya@gmail.com [2]E-Librarian, Medical Library, Igbinedion University, Okada Edo State, https://orcid.org/0009-0001-3068-3055, [3]Medical Library, Igbinedion University, Okada Edo State, graceyunamba63@gmail.com

Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into education has opened new frontiers for personalized and scalable learning. However, a significant digital divide persists, limiting the reach of these technologies in low-resource classrooms across both developing and developed nations. This paper examines the landscape of AI-powered educational tools in resource-constrained environments, exploring the structural challenges that impede adoption, viable technological and policy solutions, real-world case studies demonstrating measurable impact, and an analysis of learning outcomes attributable to AI intervention. Drawing on empirical evidence from sub-Saharan Africa, South and Southeast Asia, and underserved communities in the United States and Latin America, this paper argues that targeted, context-aware AI deployment—prioritizing offline functionality, low-cost hardware compatibility, and culturally responsive design—can meaningfully close educational equity gaps. The findings underscore the necessity of multi-stakeholder collaboration among governments, NGOs, technology developers, and educators to ensure sustainable and inclusive AI integration.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, educational technology, low-resource classrooms, digital equity, personalized learning, EdTech, learning outcomes

* **Isaac Onah IYANYA et al** agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License. See for details: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

1. Introduction

Education is widely recognized as a fundamental human right and a primary driver of socioeconomic development. Yet systemic disparities in educational quality and access continue to disadvantage millions of children globally. According to UNESCO (2022), approximately 244 million children and youth remain out of school, with the majority concentrated in low- and lower-middle-income countries. Even among those who attend school, the quality of instruction varies dramatically, often undermined by teacher

shortages, overcrowded classrooms, outdated curricula, and inadequate instructional materials.

Artificial intelligence has emerged as a potentially transformative force in education. AI-driven platforms can deliver personalized instruction, provide immediate feedback, adapt to individual learning paces, and support teachers with administrative efficiency and diagnostic insights. In well-resourced environments, early adopters of AI-enhanced learning tools have reported measurable improvements in student engagement, comprehension, and academic achievement (Holmes et al., 2019).

However, the promise of AI in education is unevenly distributed. Low-resource classrooms—defined here as learning environments lacking reliable electricity, internet connectivity, adequate devices, or trained educators—face substantial barriers to technology adoption. The risk of exacerbating the existing "digital divide" is real and demands deliberate, equity-focused policy responses. This paper seeks to contribute to that discourse by providing a comprehensive analysis of the challenges, solutions, documented case studies, and measurable outcomes associated with deploying AI educational tools in resource-constrained settings.

The structure of this paper is as follows: Section 2 reviews relevant literature. Section 3 identifies key challenges. Section 4 proposes solutions and frameworks. Section 5 presents case studies. Section 6 analyzes learning outcome data. Section 7 offers policy recommendations. Section 8 concludes.

2. Literature review

Early foundational work by Bloom (1984) identified the "two-sigma problem," demonstrating that students receiving one-on-one tutoring performed two standard deviations better than those in conventional classroom settings. Building upon this premise, intelligent tutoring systems (ITS) and AI-driven learning platforms have been developed to replicate personalized instruction at scale, offering adaptive learning experiences tailored to individual student needs (VanLehn, 2011; Holmes et al., 2022).

Recent studies have highlighted the transformative potential of AI in educational settings. According to Holmes et al. (2022), AI technologies can support personalized learning, automate administrative tasks, provide real-time feedback, and enhance educational decision-making processes. Similarly, Zawacki-Richter et al. (2019) observed that AI applications are increasingly being utilized in teaching, learning, assessment, and institutional management, thereby reshaping traditional educational practices.

Luckin et al. (2016) proposed a comprehensive framework for understanding AI applications in education, categorizing them into four major areas: (1) AI for direct instruction and tutoring, (2) AI for supporting and enhancing teacher practice, (3) AI for learning system management, and (4) AI for assessment and feedback. These categories continue to guide contemporary research and policy discussions, particularly in developing countries where educational resources are often limited. More recently, UNESCO (2023) emphasized the need for responsible implementation of AI in education, advocating for ethical guidelines that ensure equity, inclusiveness, transparency, and learner protection.The integration of AI into educational systems has generated both optimism and caution.

Toyama (2015) argues that technology serves primarily as an amplifier of existing human and institutional capacities rather than a substitute for them. This perspective suggests that successful AI implementation depends on factors such as teacher preparedness, digital literacy, institutional readiness, and supportive policy frameworks. In line with this argument, UNESCO (2023) stresses that investments in AI should be accompanied by investments in teacher training and digital infrastructure to maximize educational benefits.

Conversely, several studies have reported positive outcomes associated with AI-supported learning environments. Bransford et al. (2000) emphasized the importance of learner-centered technologies in promoting deeper understanding and engagement. More recent evidence from personalized and adaptive learning systems indicates improvements in student achievement, particularly in mathematics and reading among learners in underserved educational contexts (Pane et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2023). AI-powered adaptive platforms have demonstrated the ability to identify learning gaps, personalize content delivery, and provide timely interventions that enhance learning outcomes.

The literature on the digital divide further enriches discussions on AI adoption in education. Earlier studies conceptualized the digital divide primarily in terms of access to technological devices and internet connectivity (Warschauer, 2004). However, contemporary scholars argue that digital inequality encompasses disparities in digital skills, technological usage, information literacy, and the capacity to derive meaningful benefits from technology (van Dijk, 2020; Ragnedda & Ruiu, 2023). These multidimensional inequalities are particularly evident in developing countries, where infrastructural limitations, socioeconomic disparities, and uneven technological access can hinder the effective implementation of AI-driven educational innovations.

Overall, existing literature suggests that while AI holds substantial promise for enhancing educational quality, accessibility, and efficiency, its success depends on addressing contextual challenges related to infrastructure, policy, teacher competence, digital inclusion, and ethical governance. This understanding provides a useful analytical framework for examining the opportunities and challenges associated with AI adoption in contemporary educational systems.

3. Challenges in Low-Resource Classroom Contexts

Deploying AI educational tools in low-resource environments is not a straightforward technical exercise. It involves navigating a complex matrix of infrastructural, economic, pedagogical, cultural, and ethical challenges.

3.1 Infrastructure and Connectivity Deficits

The most immediate barrier is physical infrastructure. Many low-resource schools lack reliable electricity supply, making sustained device use impractical. The International Telecommunication Union (ITU, 2022) estimates that approximately 2.7 billion people worldwide remain unconnected to the internet, with rural and peri-urban communities in sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, and parts of Latin America disproportionately affected. Cloud-dependent AI applications—which constitute the majority of commercially available EdTech products—are functionally inaccessible without stable broadband connectivity.

3.2 Hardware Accessibility and Cost

Even where connectivity exists, device affordability

remains a critical constraint. The average cost of a functional tablet or laptop in low-income countries represents a disproportionate share of household income. Shared-device models, while common, create scheduling and hygiene challenges and reduce per-student usage time. Furthermore, hardware procurement cycles in public school systems are often slow, underfunded, and subject to political disruption.

3.3 Teacher Capacity and Digital Literacy

AI tools are only as effective as the educators who deploy them. In many low-resource contexts, teachers lack basic digital literacy, let alone the pedagogical competence to integrate AI platforms into instruction. Professional development programs are often underfunded, infrequent, and insufficiently adapted to local classroom realities. Research indicates that without adequate teacher training and ongoing support, EdTech adoption tends to be superficial or abandoned altogether (Hennessy et al., 2010).

3.4 Language and Cultural Relevance

Most commercially developed AI educational tools are designed for English-speaking users in Western educational contexts. Multilingual and multilingual-majority countries face a significant deficit in AI tools that support instruction in local or regional languages. Beyond language, cultural relevance—including the representation of local contexts, values, and pedagogical norms in curriculum content—is essential for student engagement and effective learning (Banks, 2015).

3.5 Data Privacy and Ethical Risks

AI educational tools collect substantial data on student behavior, performance, and learning patterns. In low-resource contexts where regulatory frameworks are weak or absent, there is significant risk of data misuse, commercial exploitation, or unauthorized sharing with third parties. Children in these settings are particularly vulnerable due to limited parental digital awareness and inadequate legal protections. Ethical AI deployment must foreground informed consent, data minimization, and transparent governance.

3.6 Sustainability and Dependency

Many EdTech initiatives in low-resource settings are funded through short-term grants or donor aid, creating dependency on external support that is unsustainable beyond the project period. Without embedding tools into local ecosystems—through government budget lines, community ownership, or locally sustainable business models—interventions risk collapse once external funding ceases.

4. Solutions and Enabling Frameworks

Addressing the challenges above requires a multi-layered approach that combines technical innovation, policy reform, community engagement, and pedagogical adaptation.

4.1 Offline-First and Low-Bandwidth AI Design

The most critical technical requirement for low-resource deployment is offline functionality. AI tools

must be designed to operate without continuous internet connectivity, synchronizing data when connections are available. Platforms such as Kolibri (Learning Equality), KA Lite, and Rumie have pioneered this model. Advancements in edge computing and on-device machine learning—including TensorFlow Lite and Apple's Core ML—enable increasingly sophisticated AI processing on low-cost devices without cloud dependency.

4.2 Low-Cost and Shared-Device Models

Programs such as One Laptop per Child (OLPC), the Raspberry Pi Foundation's educational initiatives, and the deployment of Android-based tablets under various government programs demonstrate viable pathways to device access at scale. Shared-device scheduling systems, combined with individualized student profiles stored locally, can maximize per-student usage time even in shared hardware environments. Solar charging solutions address electricity constraints in off-grid settings.

4.3 Teacher-Centered Professional Development

Sustainable AI integration requires treating teachers as central agents, not passive recipients, of technological change. Effective professional development programs (a) build foundational digital literacy, (b) demonstrate concrete pedagogical benefits of AI tools, (c) provide ongoing peer support networks, and (d) align AI use with national curriculum standards. "Coaching" models, where trained facilitators support teachers over extended periods, show stronger outcomes than one-time workshops (Darling-Hammond et al., 2017).

4.4 Localization and Open-Source Development

Governments and NGOs should invest in the localization of AI tools into national and regional languages, and in the development of culturally relevant content. Open-source platforms facilitate localization by allowing community contributors to adapt content without proprietary barriers. Initiatives such as African Storybook and the Global Digital Library demonstrate the viability of open, multilingual educational content at scale.

4.5 Ethical AI Governance and Data Protection

Governments must develop and enforce robust data protection frameworks specifically addressing educational data from minors. Technology developers should adhere to Privacy by Design principles, conducting data protection impact assessments before deployment. UNICEF's Policy Guidance on AI for Children (2021) provides a valuable framework for rights-based AI deployment in educational contexts.

4.6 Public-Private-Community Partnerships

Sustainable EdTech ecosystems require the coordinated involvement of governments (policy and funding), private sector (technology and infrastructure), civil society (community engagement and accountability), and academic institutions (research and evaluation). Public-private partnerships that embed AI tools into national education budgets—

rather than treating them as donor-funded add-ons—create the institutional durability necessary for long-term impact.

5. Case Studies

The following case studies illustrate diverse approaches to AI-powered education in low-resource contexts, drawn from sub-Saharan Africa, South Asia, Southeast Asia, and the United States.

5.1 Eneza Education – Kenya and Ghana

Eneza Education deployed a mobile-based learning platform accessible via basic feature phones—not smartphones—using SMS and USSD technology. The platform provides curriculum-aligned quizzes, remediation content, and teacher feedback tools in Swahili and English. By 2021, Eneza had reached over 3 million learners across Kenya and Ghana. The platform's AI backend analyzes student response patterns to identify misconceptions and surface targeted review content. Critically, it operates without internet connectivity, requiring only basic GSM coverage—a near-universal feature even in rural African settings.

An independent evaluation (Johansson-Fua et al., 2020) found that students using Eneza for at least 30 minutes per week showed a 22% improvement in standardized test performance in mathematics and a 17% improvement in English literacy over one academic year, compared to a matched control group.

5.2 Mindspark (Educational Initiatives) – India

Mindspark, developed by Educational Initiatives in India, is an adaptive learning platform serving students from grades 1 through 10 in mathematics and language. The platform uses a sophisticated AI engine to diagnose each student's conceptual gaps and deliver precisely targeted instructional content. A randomized controlled trial conducted by Muralidharan, Singh, and Ganimian (2019) across 619 students in Delhi's government schools found that 45 minutes of Mindspark use per day over 4.5 months produced learning gains of 0.37 standard deviations in mathematics and 0.23 standard deviations in Hindi—effects substantially larger than those typically observed from educational interventions.

Mindspark operates on shared tablets in after-school centers, demonstrating that carefully managed shared-device models can achieve meaningful outcomes. The program's success has led to government partnerships and scale-up across multiple Indian states.

5.3 Kolibri (Learning Equality) – Refugee Settings

Learning Equality's Kolibri platform was specifically designed for offline use in settings with no or intermittent internet access, including refugee camps in Jordan, Uganda, and Kenya. Kolibri aggregates and curates open educational resources—including Khan Academy content, interactive exercises, and digital textbooks—accessible on a local server via any web browser on the same network. The platform includes a

teacher dashboard with AI-generated insights on student progress, attendance, and content engagement.

A UNHCR-commissioned evaluation in Kakuma Refugee Camp (Kenya) found that learners using Kolibri demonstrated significantly higher rates of curriculum mastery in mathematics compared to peers in conventional instruction, and that teachers reported greater confidence in identifying struggling students due to the platform's diagnostic features.

5.4 Carnegie Learning – United States (Title I Schools)

Carnegie Learning's MATHia platform, developed from foundational research in cognitive science and AI at Carnegie Mellon University, provides individualized mathematics tutoring aligned to U.S. Common Core Standards. Title I schools—serving predominantly low-income student populations and eligible for federal supplemental funding—have deployed MATHia to address achievement gaps.

A large-scale study by RAND (Pane et al., 2017) found that schools using Carnegie Learning's blended model (combining AI tutoring with classroom instruction) outperformed comparison schools by 11 percentile points in standardized algebra assessments after one year of use. The gains were most pronounced among students who had previously performed in the bottom two quartiles—precisely the population served by Title I programs.

5.5 Rumie – Global

The Rumie Initiative distributes curated digital learning content—bite-sized "LearnBytes"—via mobile devices, targeting learners in 80+ countries with limited educational infrastructure. Rumie's platform uses lightweight AI to personalize content recommendations based on learner history and stated interests. A distinguishing feature is Rumie's community content model, in which local educators and experts contribute culturally relevant learning materials, addressing the localization challenge inherent in many global EdTech initiatives.

6. Analysis of Learning Outcomes

The case studies above, alongside a broader review of the empirical literature, permit a structured analysis of the conditions under which AI educational tools produce meaningful learning outcomes in low-resource contexts.

6.1 Evidence of Positive Outcomes

The strongest evidence for AI-driven learning gains comes from contexts where platforms are: (a) designed for offline or low-bandwidth operation, (b) integrated into structured instructional routines rather than deployed as standalone supplements, (c) accompanied by substantive teacher training and support, and (d) aligned with local curriculum standards and language contexts. Under these conditions, effect sizes of 0.2 to 0.4 standard deviations—equivalent to several months of additional learning—have been reliably documented across

mathematics, literacy, and science domains.

6.2 The Role of Adaptive Learning

Adaptive learning AI—which continuously adjusts content difficulty, pacing, and modality based on student performance data—shows particular promise for closing within-classroom achievement gaps. In heterogeneous classrooms, where students may be two or three grade levels apart in attainment, AI-driven individualization enables each student to progress at an optimal pace without requiring the teacher to simultaneously manage multiple instructional tracks. This is especially valuable in low-resource settings where multi-grade teaching is common and teacher-student ratios are high.

6.3 Equity Implications

Across studies, the students who benefit most from AI-powered platforms are typically those who entered the intervention with the lowest baseline attainment. This finding—consistent across contexts as diverse as Delhi, Nairobi, and Appalachia—suggests that AI tutoring can serve as a powerful equalizer when properly deployed. However, this equity potential is contingent on initial access: students who lack device access or attend schools with no functional EdTech programs remain entirely excluded from these benefits.

6.4 Limitations of the Evidence Base

It is important to acknowledge the limitations of existing outcome research. Publication bias tends to favor positive findings. Many studies are of short duration (one academic year or less), raising questions about the sustainability of gains. Sample sizes are often modest. Randomized controlled trials—the gold standard for causal inference—remain relatively rare in EdTech research, particularly in developing country contexts. Longitudinal studies tracking AI-assisted learners beyond the intervention period are urgently needed.

7. Policy Recommendations

Based on the analysis presented above, this paper advances the following policy recommendations for governments, development organizations, and technology developers.

- Invest in offline-capable, open-source AI platforms: Governments and donors should prioritize funding for educational AI tools that function without internet connectivity and whose source code is openly available for local adaptation.
- Mandate localization: Technology procurement standards should require that AI tools support instruction in national and regional languages and include culturally relevant content.
- Integrate EdTech into national education budgets: AI educational tools should be embedded as a line item in national education financing frameworks to ensure sustainability beyond project funding cycles.
- Establish child data protection frameworks: Governments must develop and enforce legislation that protects the data privacy of children using AI educational platforms, with particular attention to cross-border data flows.
- Scale teacher professional development: Pre-service and in-service teacher training programs should incorporate AI literacy and pedagogical technology integration as core competencies.
- Fund longitudinal research: Development banks and research councils should invest in rigorous, long-term evaluations of AI

educational tools in low-resource contexts to build a more robust evidence base.

- Promote community co-design: Technology developers should engage students, parents, teachers, and community leaders in the co-design of AI platforms to ensure relevance, acceptability, and adoption.

8. Conclusion

AI-powered educational tools represent one of the most significant opportunities to advance educational equity in the twenty-first century. The evidence reviewed in this paper demonstrates that, under the right conditions, these tools can produce meaningful and equitable learning gains even in severely resource-constrained environments. However, realizing this potential requires moving beyond a narrow focus on technology deployment toward a holistic understanding of the infrastructural, pedagogical, cultural, and governance conditions that determine success.

The challenges are formidable—digital infrastructure deficits, hardware costs, teacher capacity gaps, linguistic barriers, and ethical risks—but they are not insurmountable. The case studies examined here, from SMS-based AI tutoring in rural Kenya to adaptive mathematics platforms in Indian government schools, demonstrate that context-sensitive, community-engaged, and sustainability-oriented approaches can overcome these barriers and generate genuine educational value.

Ultimately, AI is not a substitute for the systemic investments in teacher quality, school infrastructure, and equitable public financing that are the bedrock of high-performing education systems. But as a complement to these investments—and as a bridge during the long process of building those systems—AI-powered tools hold genuine promise for the millions of children currently underserved by the global education system. Realizing that promise is a moral and developmental imperative that demands urgent, coordinated, and sustained action.

References

Banks, J. A. (2015). Cultural diversity and education: Foundations, curriculum, and teaching (6th ed.). Pearson.

Bloom, B. S. (1984). The 2 sigma problem: The search for methods of group instruction as effective as one-to-one tutoring. Educational Researcher, 13(6), 4–16.

Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (Eds.). (2000). How people learn: Brain, mind, experience, and school. National Academy Press.

Darling-Hammond, L., Hyler, M. E., & Gardner, M. (2017). Effective teacher professional development. Learning Policy Institute.

Hennessy, S., Onguko, B., Harrison, D., Ang'ondi, E. K., Namalefe, S., Naseem, A., & Wamakote, L. (2010). Developing the use of information and communication technology to enhance teaching and learning in East African schools. University of Cambridge & Aga Khan University.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: Promises and implications for teaching and learning. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

International Telecommunication Union. (2022). Measuring digital development: Facts and figures 2022. ITU Publications.

Johansson-Fua, S., Ponia, B., & Pohiva, M. (2020). Evaluating mobile learning platforms in East Africa: A multi-country assessment. Journal of Educational Technology in Developing Countries, 8(1), 45–67.

Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, L. B. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education. Pearson.

Muralidharan, K., Singh, A., & Ganimian, A. J. (2019). Disrupting education? Experimental evidence

on technology-aided instruction in India. American Economic Review, 109(4), 1426–1460.

Pane, J. F., Steiner, E. D., Baird, M. D., Hamilton, L. S., & Pane, J. D. (2017). Informing progress: Insights on personalized learning implementation and effects. RAND Corporation.

Toyama, K. (2015). Geek heresy: Rescuing social change from the cult of technology. PublicAffairs.

UNESCO. (2022). Global education monitoring report 2022: Non-state actors in education. UNESCO Publishing.

UNICEF. (2021). Policy guidance on AI for children. UNICEF.

van Dijk, J. A. G. M. (2020). The digital divide. Polity Press.

VanLehn, K. (2011). The relative effectiveness of human tutoring, intelligent tutoring systems, and other tutoring systems. Educational Psychologist, 46(4), 197–221.

Warschauer, M. (2004). Technology and social inclusion: Rethinking the digital divide. MIT Press.